

Page 1 of 5 - TORT CLAIMANTS COMMITTEE'S RESPONSE TO CENTRAL CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL ALUMNI ASSOCIATION AND CENTRAL CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL PARENTS ASSOCIATION'S PRECAUTIONARY MOTION REGARDING USE OF DONATIONS

Tonkon Torp LLP

2

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

While the Court could deny this motion, the Committee believes that payment of the Associations' legal expenses from estate assets could be an appropriate exercise of discretion under 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 363(b) and 503. The Court should therefore grant this motion, but not for the reasons advanced by movants.

IT IS PREMATURE FOR THE COURT TO DETERMINE WHETHER I. PERSONAL PROPERTY, INCLUDING THE FUNDS AT ISSUE HERE, ARE PROPERTY OF THE ESTATE

The Associations argue that they are entitled to use the Disputed Funds to pay their legal expenses because these funds are not property of Debtor's estate, but instead are held in charitable trust for the educational mission of Central Catholic High School.

Determining whether a trust exists can be difficult when a court is faced with a single donation. In this case, Debtor and its co-defendants' trust arguments may require the Court to determine the trust status of donations stretching back over 100 years in the course

TORT CLAIMANTS COMMITTEE'S RESPONSE TO CENTRAL CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL Page 2 of 5 -ALUMNI ASSOCIATION AND CENTRAL CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL PARENTS ASSOCIATION'S PRECAUTIONARY MOTION REGARDING USE OF DONATIONS

of deciding whether these assets are property of the estate. The Committee will not be able to respond fully to any of the defendants' trust arguments concerning donations until it engages in extensive discovery. Before the parties can begin this process, the Court will need to develop a discovery protocol that will apply to all funds Debtor claims to hold in trust for its parishes, schools and other parties. The Court and parties will use their resources most efficiently if they address these procedural issues in the context of a separate motion for summary judgment that focuses on personal property—and not in the context of a "precautionary motion" concerning the payment of legal fees. This is particularly so because, for the reasons provided below, there is no need for the Court to resolve these issues in order to assure the due payment of the Associations' legal expenses.

II. THE ASSOCIATIONS HAVE NOT SHOWN THAT THE DONATED FUNDS ARE SUBJECT TO A TRUST

Even if it were necessary for the Court to resolve the Associations' trust law argument, it would fail. Under Oregon law, a party seeking to prove the existence of a trust must come forward with evidence that is "clear, unequivocal and convincing." *Shipe v. Hillman*, 296 Or. 556, 564 (1955). The Associations have not offered such evidence.

The Oregon Supreme Court has held that a party seeking to prove a trust must offer more than "[t]he bare assertion by a third person that the grantor's purpose in making a conveyance was to create a trust" *Trustees of the Presbytery of the Willamette v. Hammer*, 235 Or. 564, 567 (1963). However, the Associations offer nothing but the declaration of Peter Corrado, Director of the Central Catholic Office of Alumni and Planned Giving and the Central Catholic High School Alumni Association. While Mr. Corrado describes the Associations' recent fundraising efforts in general terms, neither he nor the Associations have come forward with any documentation for these activities that would assist the Court in determining whether the funds are held in trust. It is unclear whether the Associations (or the Central Catholic High School Development Office) maintain bank

Page 3 of 5 - TORT CLAIMANTS COMMITTEE'S RESPONSE TO CENTRAL CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL ALUMNI ASSOCIATION AND CENTRAL CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL PARENTS ASSOCIATION'S PRECAUTIONARY MOTION REGARDING USE OF DONATIONS

accounts. If there are such accounts, the Associations have not revealed the names of the signatories on these accounts. The Associations have also declined to offer evidence concerning their decision-making processes or relationship to Debtor.¹ The Associations have also failed to come forward with evidence on the issue that is the touchstone of the law governing the creation of a trust: whether donors have manifested an intention to impose fiduciary duties on the recipients of their funds. See Restatement of Trusts (Second) §§ 348 & 351 cmt. c ("A charitable trust is not created unless the settlor manifests an intention to impose enforceable duties."). The motion is not supported by a single affidavit from a donor, or the correspondence between a donor and the Associations. III. GRANTING THIS MOTION COULD BE AN APPROPRIATE EXERCISE OF THE COURT'S DISCRETION TO AUTHORIZE ADMINISTRATIVE **EXPENSES** Although there is no reason why the Court must grant this motion, the Committee nevertheless believes that the Court could approve use of the funds at issue to pay the Associations' fees. The Court has authority to grant this motion under a number of Bankruptcy Code provisions. Section 363(b) authorizes the use of estate property outside the ordinary course of business after notice and a hearing. Section 503(b) permits the court to allow administrative expenses that benefit the estate, including legal fees. Finally, the Court has equitable power under Section 105(a) to issue "any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate" to carry out the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Payment of the Associations' legal expenses from the estate could be a prudent investment in the efficient administration and resolution of this case. The

¹ Mr. Corrado is presumably an employee of Debtor, because Debtor has successfully maintained in prior litigation that Central Catholic's staff are Archdiocesan employees. See *Central Catholic Education Ass'n v. Archdiocese of Portland*, Case No. PR-1-93 (Or. E.R.B. Sept. 14, 1993), *aff'd* 323 Or. 238 (1996) (attached as Exhibit 12 to the Declaration of Timothy J. Conway in Support of the Tort Claimants Committee's Second Motion for Partial Summary Judgment).

Page 4 of 5 - TORT CLAIMANTS COMMITTEE'S RESPONSE TO CENTRAL CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL ALUMNI ASSOCIATION AND CENTRAL CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL PARENTS ASSOCIATION'S PRECAUTIONARY MOTION REGARDING USE OF DONATIONS

1	Associations represent interests that are directly affected by the adversary proceeding. Their		
2	counsel can help counsel for Debtor and the Parishioners' Committee advance the trust law		
3	arguments that will figure in the adversary proceeding. To the extent that donation-by-		
4	donation discovery proves necessary, the Associations are in a good position to marshal this		
5	evidence because fundraising records are maintained at the school level. Finally, as the		
6	Parishioners' Committee noted in its own "Precautionary Motion," the full involvement of all		
7	defendants will help guarantee the validity of the Court's decisions in the adversary		
8	proceeding.		
9	IV. CONCLUSION		
10	The Court should grant the Associations' motion, but not for the reasons they		
11	have articulated. While the parties have not proven the existence of a charitable trust that is		
12	immune from the claims of Debtor's tort creditors, payment of the Associations' legal		
13	expenses could be a prudent expenditure of estate assets that would encourage the most		
14	comprehensive resolution of these proceedings at the earliest possible date.		
15	DATED this 3rd day of June, 2005.		
16	TONKON TORP LLP		
17			
18	By Jehr M. Kunich		
19	ALBERT N. KENNEDY, OSB No. 82142 Attorneys for Tort Claimants Committee		
20			
21	032545\000001\631930 V002		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

TORT CLAIMANTS COMMITTEE'S RESPONSE TO CENTRAL CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL ALUMNI ASSOCIATION AND CENTRAL CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL PARENTS ASSOCIATION'S PRECAUTIONARY MOTION REGARDING USE OF DONATIONS Page 5 of 5 -

1	CERTIFICAT	TE OF SERVICE
2		
3	I hereby certify that I served the COMMITTEE'S RESPONSE TO CENTR	ne foregoing TORT CLAIMANTS AL CATHOLIC HIGH SCHOOL ALUMNI
4	ASSOCIATION AND CENTRAL CATHO ASSOCIATION'S PRECAUTIONARY M	
5	DONATIONS on:	
	Pamela J. Griffith	David A. Foraker
6	U.S. Trustee's Office	Greene & Markley, P.C.
7	620 S.W. Main Street, Room 213	1515 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 600
/	Portland, OR 97205	Portland, OR 97201-5492 Future Claimants Representative
8	Howard M. Levine	r attare Claimants Representative
	Thomas W. Stilley	Bradley S. Copeland
9	William N. Stiles	Loren S. Scott
•	Sussman Shank LLP	Arnold Gallagher Saydack Percell
10	1000 S.W. Broadway, Suite 1400	Roberts & Potter, P.C.
11	Portland, OR 97205-3089	800 U.S. Bank Center
11	Attorneys for Debtor Roman Catholic	800 Willamette Street
12	Archbishop of Portland in Oregon	P. O. Box 1758
12	James M. Finn	Eugene, OR 97440-1758
13	Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt, P.C.	Attorneys for Marist High School Parent & Alumni Service Club
15	1600-1900 Pacwest Center	Farein & Alumin Service Club
14	1211 S.W. Fifth Avenue	REQUESTS FOR NOTICE:
•	Portland, OR 97204-3795	REQUESTS FOR NOTICE.
15	Special Counsel for Debtor	Steven M. Hedberg
		Douglas R. Pahl
16	L. Martin Nussbaum	Jeanette L. Thomas
	Rothgerber Johnson & Lyons LLP	Perkins Coie LLP
17	Wells Fargo Tower, Suite 1100	1120 NW Couch Street, 10th Floor
10	90 South Cascade Avenue	Portland, OR 97209-4128
18	Colorado Springs, CO 80903	Attorneys for Parishes and Parishioners Committee
19	Special Counsel for Debtor	Parismoners Commutee
1	Brad T. Summers	John L. Langslet
20	Ball Janik LLP	Scott A. Kamin
_	1100 One Main Place	Michael J. Farrell
21	101 S.W. Main Street	Martin, Bischoff, Templeton,
	Portland, OR 97204-3219	Langslet & Hoffman
22	Attorneys for Central Catholic High	900 Pioneer Tower
	School Parents Association and	888 SW Fifth Avenue
23	Central Catholic High School Alumni	Portland, OR 97204
	Association	Attorneys for Oregon Insurance
24		Guaranty Association
25	mailing a copy thereof in a	sealed, first-class postage prepaid envelope,
	addressed to each party's last-known address	and depositing in the U.S. mail at Portland,
26	Oregon on the date set forth below;	

1	causing a copy thereof to be hand-delivered to each party at each party's last-known address on the date set forth below;		
2 3	sending a copy thereof via overnight courier in a sealed, prepaid envelope, addressed to each party's last-known address on the date set forth below;		
4	faxing a copy thereof to each party at such party's last-known facsimile number on the date set forth below; or		
5	e-mailing a copy thereof to each party at such party's last-known e-mail address on the date set forth below.		
7	DATED this 3rd day of June, 2005.		
8	TONKON TORP LLP		
9			
0	By Mus M. Kenney		
۱1	ALBERT N. KENNEDY, OSB No. 82142		
12	Attorneys for Tort Claimants Committee		
13	032545\00001\631930 V002		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

Page 2 of 2 - CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE